



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/364,370	07/30/1999	TOM THUAN CHEUNG	ST9-99-077/P70-42971	ST9-99-077/P70-42971 9357	
23373	7590 04/25/2003	,			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
	YLVANIA AVENUE, N ON, DC 20037	.W.	но, т	НЕ Т	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2126	14	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/364,370	CHEUNG, TOM THUAN	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The Thanh Ho	2126	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATHER THE APPLICATHER APPLICATHER THE ACTION BY THE APPLICATION OF THE ACTION OF THE	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened s	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final (on. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b). Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in	aon, even n
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	` ''	f the appeal.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		coo NOTE holow):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	see NOTE below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or sig	anlifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	Thetter form for appear by mate	rially reducing or sin	ipiliying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. The request for reconsideration filed 3/14/2003 has been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because applicant argues the same arguments as set forth in the Amendment received 11/04/2002. See Examiner's rejection argument in the Final Rejection.

ST. JOHN COURTENAY III
PRIMARY EXAMINER